_	27
	. 7.7

	File With
SECTION 131 FORM	Manufacture of the contraction o

Appeal No			Defer Re O/H
ABP- 314485-22			
from Paul and Palacia Mand Development Act, 2000 be	longon ire	ecommend t	hat section 131 of the Planning
			·
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.			
Section 131 to be invoked — a	allow 2/4 weeks fo	or reply.	
Signed		Date	Source accounts and the second
POX B		O'	1/12/2023
Signed		Date	
SEO/SAO			
М			
Please prepare BP — Sec	tion 131 notice e	nclosing a c	opy of the attached submission.
To Task No			Allow 2/3/4 weeks
			BP
Signed		Date	
EO			
Signed		Date	

egal - - ur



Plan ning Appea I Online Observation

Online Reference NPA-OBS-002785

Online Observation Details				
Contact Name Paul and Patricia Mangan	Lodgement Date 01/12/2023 11:00:19	Case Number / Description 314485		
Payment Details				
Payment Method Online Payment	Cardholder Name Paul Mangan	Payment Amount €50.00		
Processing Section				
S.131 Consideration Required Yes — See attached 131 Form N/A — Invalid Signed Date 07/12/2023				
Fee Refund Requisition				
Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of €		LDG— 068475-23		
Reason for Refund		008413 53		
Documents Returned to Observer Yes No		Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approval Output Outp		
Signed	Date			
EO				
Finance Section				
Payment Reference		d Against Fee Income Online		
ch_3OIUSFB1CW0EN5FC0Nc6L		Accounts Section)		
Amount	Refund	Date		
€				
Authorised By (1)	Authoris	sed By (2)		
SEO (Finance)		Chief Officer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board Member		
Date	Date			

Observation on a Planning Appeal

Planning Authority -

Fingal County Council

ABP Case number -

PL06F.314485

Planning Authority Register Ref No -

F20A/0668

Location of Proposed Development - Dublin Airport

Noise

The noise level associated with aircraft movements is intolerable. There is clear advice from the World Health Authority on the adverse health effects of excessive noise. Since the opening of the North Runway aircraft approaching Dublin Airport fly directly over our house. This is affecting adversely our enjoyment of our house and garden. It is affecting our sleep.

The noise level as an aircraft passes overhead can be above 80dB. The WHO advice is that noise levels above 45dB by day and 40db at bight can be damaging to health.

In measuring noise levels, it is the immediate level that is relevant and not an average over a day, month or year. The figures indicated by DAA are based on average levels. This is totally disingenuous. It is as relevant to health and comfort as averaging water levels over an extended period to indicate that flooding does not occur after heavy rainfall.

The noise contours used by DAA in assessing areas for noise insulation do not properly address the flight path to the North Runway. The Noise Insulation Zone starts approximately 1km further west that that for the South Runway, thereby excluding hundreds of houses in Portmarnock from the insulation scheme. This is not addressed in the documents submitted by DAA. It is significant that in its planning permissions, Fingal County Council is now imposing a condition requiring the inclusion of sound insulation in new developments in Portmarnock.

Number of Flights

The planning permission for the North Runway imposes a limit of 65 flights per night. DAA has consistently exceeded this number, in flagrant breach of the planning permission. When issued with an Enforcement Order by Fingal Co Council, instead of complying it initiated legal action to avoid compliance. This organisation is not concerned about observing planning conditions. It continues to blatantly flout them and, when challenged, does not desist but seeks to have the conditions changed - years after the period for appealing conditions has expired.

DAA proposes a "Noise Quota" instead of a cap on flight numbers. A noise quota could be exploited to facilitate an almost unlimited number of night flights. A quota would be difficult to measure and almost impossible to enforce. It would establish a nebulous statistic that would be under the effective control of the airlines and airport authority. In light of the brazen disregard of the existing clear number of approved night flights, such a scheme would be a disaster for residents and must be rejected.

Flight paths

The take-off flight paths used from the North Runway are not those approved in the 2007 planning permission. An alteration to these routes in early 2023 did not revert to the permitted routes. In its recent submission to An Bord Pleanala DAA has used the current ("actual") flight paths to indicate its "permitted" routes on its drawings - instead of the 2007 permitted routes. For ABP to consider a submission based on unauthorised flight paths would amount to acceptance of these unapproved and illegal routes. To do so would expose An Bord Pleanala to a Judicial Review of its action. These incorrect drawings, and any submission made on their basis, must be rejected.

The flight paths approved in 2007 are more direct and turning does not occur until the flights are some height and distance away from the runway. The unapproved flight paths in use currently involve earlier (and therefore lower) turns and expose many more buildings (homes, schools, businesses) to excessive noise that was originally indicated and approved. Decisions made on where to live or work based on the permitted flight paths are worthless. Reverting to the permitted direct flight paths would lessen the impact and must be enforced.

Extension of Night Time Hours

Currently, Night Time is defined as from 11pm to 7 am. This 8 hour period is consistent with the commonly accepted requirement for 8 hours sleep. To change this to a 6 hour period from midnight to 6 am would reduce the 'quiet' time to 6 hours and the resultant sleep deprivation would undoubtedly have serious effects on health and wellbeing.

To allow this extended period, plus the divergent flight paths and the removal of a cap on night time flight numbers, all of which are sought by the DAA, would have a catastrophic effect on the health and quality of life of persons living on or near the flight paths to Dublin Airport.

Request for an Oral Hearing

This is a very serious issue affecting a large area and a high number of people. The importance of the issue to all parties and the conduct of DAA through its breach of the existing planning permission and its inclusion of inaccurate information in its submission to An Bord Pleanala make it essential that this matter is determined publicly through an Oral Hearing.

Observer

Paul and Patricia Mangan 49 Carrickhill Heights Portmarnock Co Dublin D13N2O2